

Research Investigating the Advantages of Planetarium Shows

Summary:

The maturing planetarium educational research community no longer needs to test which instructional environment, classroom or planetarium, is better suited for teaching astronomy (Brazell, 2009); the planetarium is better apt to instruct students in astronomy" (Brazell & Espinoza, 2009).

Research supports the advantages of using digital planetarium for **visualization of astronomy concepts**. A digital planetarium helps learners accurately see three-dimensional astronomical phenomenon from different points of view.

Immersion in a digital planetarium show increases learners' level of **attention and the retention of information**.

Planetarium shows include more than astronomy and space science. Many shows include diverse content to include **interdisciplinary connections** with biology, history, earth science, literature, cultural studies, and art.

List of Scholarly Resources:

- Baxter, J. H., & Preece, P. F. 2000, *A comparison of dome and computer planetaria in the teaching of astronomy*, Research in Science & Technological Education, 18(1), 63-69.
- Bell, R. L., & Trundle, K. C. 2008, *The use of a computer simulation to promote scientific conceptions of moon phases,* Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 45(3), 346-372.
- Brazell, B. (2009). *Planetarium instructional efficacy: A research synthesis*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Texas A&M University Commerce, United States Texas.
- Brazell, B.D., Espinoza, S. 2009, *Meta-analysis of Planetarium Efficacy Research*, Astronomy Education Review, 8, 010108-1
- Carsten-Conner, L.D., Larson, A.M., Arseneau, J. & Herrick, R.R., 2015, *Elementary Student Knowledge Gains In The Digital Portable Planetarium*, Journal of Astronomy & Earth Sciences Education, 2(2), 65-76
- Chastenay, P. 2016, *From geocentrism to allocentrism: Teaching the phases of the moon in a digital full-dome planetarium,* Research in Science Education, 46(1), 43-77.
- Cirstea, R. P. 2013, Some Considerations on Educational Astronomy in the First Digital Planetarium from Romania, the Arges County Museum Planetarium, Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 76, 190-196.
- Fisher, M. 1997, *The effect of humor on learning in a planetarium*, Science Education, 81(6), 703-713
- Gazit, E., Yair, Y., & Chen, D. 2005, *Emerging conceptual understanding of complex astronomical phenomena by using a virtual solar system*, Journal of Science Education and Technology, 14(5-6), 459-470.



- Giles, T., & Bell, P. E. 1982, Investigating Learning Mediators in the Planetarium Classroom.
- Gillette, Sean. 2011. *The Effects of Seductive Details in an Inflatable Planetarium*. Walden Dissertation and Doctoral Studies Collection.
- Hayward, R.R. 1976, April, *The developing and field testing of an instrument using the planetarium to evaluate the attainment of the concept of annual motion*, in The National Association for Research in Science Teaching.
- Heimlich, J. E., Sickler, J., Yocco, V., & Storksdieck, M. 2010, *Influence of immersion on visitor learning: Maya skies research report*. Edgewater, MD: Institute for Learning Innovation.
- Hintz, E. G., Jones, M. D., Lawler, M. J., Bench, N., & Mangrubang, F. 2015, *Adoption of ASL classifiers as delivered by headmounted displays in a planetarium show*, Journal of Astronomy and Earth Sciences Education, 2(1), 1.
- Hobson, S. M., Trundle, K. C., & Saçkes, M. 2010, *Using a planetarium software program to promote conceptual change with young children*, Journal of Science Education and Technology, 19(2), 165-176.
- Jacobson, J. 2010, April, *Digital dome versus desktop computer in a learning game for religious architecture*, in Annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association (AERA), Denver, CO.
- Jacobson, J. 2011, *Digital Dome vs. Desktop Display in an Educational Game: Gates of Horus*, International Journal of Gaming and Computer-Mediated Simulations (IJGCMS), special issue on educational applications, Spring, 2011
- Jacobson, J. 2013, *Digital dome versus desktop display: Learning outcome assessments by domain experts*, International Journal of Virtual and Personal Learning Environments (IJVPLE), 4(3), 51-65.
- Larsen, K., & Bednarski, M. 2011, September, Assessing the Effect of a Digital Planetarium Show on the Astronomical Understanding of Fifth Graders" in Earth and Space Science: Making Connections in Education and Public Outreach, Vol. 443, pp. 183-186
- Mallon, G. L., & Bruce, M. H. 1982, Student achievement and attitudes in astronomy: An experimental comparison of two planetarium programs, Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 19(1), 53-61.
- Mintz, R., Litvak, S., & Yair, Y. 2001, *3D-virtual reality in science education: An implication for astronomy teaching*, Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching, 20(3), 293-305.
- Plummer, J. D. 2006, *Students' development of astronomy concepts across time*, Astronomy Education Review, 7(1), 139-148.
- Plummer, J. D. 2009, *A Cross-age study of children's knowledge of apparent celestial motion*, International Journal of Science Education, 31(12), 1571-1605.
- Plummer, J. D. 2009, *Early elementary students' development of astronomy concepts in the planetarium*, Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 46(2), 192-209.
- Plummer, J. D., & Krajcik, J. 2010, *Building a learning progression for celestial motion: Elementary levels from an earthbased perspective*, Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 47(7), 768-787.
- Plummer, J. D., & Small, K. J. 2013, Informal science educators' pedagogical choices and goals for learners: The case of planetarium professionals, Astronomy Education Review, 12(1), 010105.



- Plummer, J. D., Kocareli, A., & Slagle, C. 2014, Learning to explain astronomy across moving frames of reference: Exploring the role of classroom and planetarium-based instructional contexts, International Journal of Science Education, 36(7), 1083-1106.
- Price, C. A., Lee, H. S., Subbarao, M., Kasal, E., & Aguilera, J. 2015, *Comparing Short-and Long-Term Learning Effects Between Stereoscopic and Two-Dimensional Film at a Planetarium*, Science Education, 99(6), 1118-1142.
- Reed, G. 1975, *The affective value of a planetarium in the scheduling of a college astronomy course*, School Science and Mathematics, 716-722.
- Reed, G., & Campbell, J.R. 1972, A comparison of the effectiveness of the planetarium and the classroom chalkboard and celestial globe in the teaching of specific astronomical concepts, School Science and Mathematics, 72, 368-374.
- Schnall, S., Hedge, C., & Weaver, R. 2012, *The Immersive Virtual Environment of the digital fulldome: Considerations of relevant psychological processes*, International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 70(8), 561-575.
- Schneps, M. H., Ruel, J., Sonnert, G., Dussault, M., Griffin, M., & Sadler, P. M. 2014, *Conceptualizing astronomical scale: Virtual simulations on handheld tablet computers reverse misconceptions*, Computers & Education, 70, 269-280.
- Slater, Timothy & Tatge, Coty. 2017. *Research on Teaching Astronomy in the Planetarium*. Springer International Publishing.
- Small, K.J., & Plummer, J.D. 2010, *Survey of the goals and beliefs of planetarium professionals regarding program design*, Astronomy Education Review, 9, 010112-1
- Soroka, J.J. October 1967, *The planetarium and science education*, Projector, Publication of the Great Lakes Planetarium Association, 18-23.
- Sumners, C., Reiff, P., & Weber, W. 2008, *Learning in an immersive digital theater*, Advances in Space Research, 42(11), 1848-1854.
- Sunal, D.W. 1976, *Analysis of research on the educational uses of a planetarium*, Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 13(4), 345-349.
- Trundle, K. C., & Bell, R. L. (2003), Using Planetarium Software to Teach Standards-Based Lunar Concepts, School Science and Mathematics, 103(8), 397-401.
- Trundle, K. C., & Bell, R. L. 2010, *The use of a computer simulation to promote conceptual change: A quasi-experimental study*, Computers & Education, 54(4), 1078-1088.
- Türk, C., & Kalkan, H. 2015, *The effect of planetariums on teaching specific astronomy concepts*, Journal of Science Education and Technology, 24(1), 1-15.
- Yair, Y., Schur, Y., & Mintz, R. 2003, *A Thinking Journey to the planets using scientific visualization technologies: Implications to astronomy education, Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 12(1), 43-49.
- Yee, A.H., Baer, J.M., & Holt, K.D. 1971, An evaluation of the effectiveness school planetarium experiences, Educational Technology Publications, 41-1 -- 41-17
- Yu, K. C., Sahami, K., Sahami, V., & Sessions, L. C. 2015, *Using a digital planetarium for teaching seasons to undergraduates*, Journal of Astronomy and Earth Sciences Education, 2(1), 33-50.



- Yu, K. C., Sahami, K., Denn, G., Sahami, V., & Sessions, L. C. 2016, *Immersive Planetarium Visualizations For Teaching Solar* System Moon Concepts To Undergraduates, Journal of Astronomy and Earth Sciences Education, 3(2), 93-110.
- Yu, K. C., Sahami, K., & Dove, J. 2017, *Learning About Scale of the Solar System Using Digital Planetarium Visualizations*, American Journal of Physics, in press.
- Zimmerman, L., Spillane, S., & Reiff, P. 2014, *Comparison of student learning about space in immersive and computer environments*, Journal and Review of Astronomy Education and Outreach, 1(1), A5-A20

(http://www.immersive-theatres.com/education/motivate-your-visit)